Share transfer needs I-T nod, says NDTV; Adani rejects claim

FE BUREAU Mumbai, September 1

NEW DELHITELEVISION (NDTV) on Thursdaysaida transfer of its shares would require the income tax (I-T) department's approval. Adani Group termed NDTV's claim "without merit orbasis in law or fact" and an attempt

The media firm, in a regulatory update, said the I-T department had "provisionally" attached shares held by RRPR Holding (RRPRH), a pro-

to "inordinately delay" the deal.

moter group firm, in 2017 and also barred founder-promoters Radhika and Prannoy Roy from selling stake following a dispute in reassessment of taxes.

In a 2018 notification, the department reiterated that attachment would remain in place until completion of the reassessment

proceedings, NDTV, said, quoting a letter it received from RRPRH.

According to the loan agreement RRPRH signed with Vishvapradhan Commercial Private (VCPL) in 2009, I-T had estimated a capital gains tax of ₹175 crore "arising on the sale of controlling interest in NDTV to VCPL".

The department has to clarify whether the provisional attachment on RRPRH's shares held in NDTV was valid. Further, RRPRH also intimated that the promoters (Roys) as individuals would also require independent approvals to deal with any assets, including indirect shareholding in NDTV. RRPRH also asked VCPL, an indirect subsidiary of Adani Enter-

prises through which the group had exercised rights to acquire a 99.5% stake in RRPRH, to jointly move the I-T department.

Adani Enterprises refuted the promoters' statement. "The I-T orders only applies to the shares of NDTV held by RRPRH and in no manner restricts it from completing the formalities in relation to allotment of shares to VCPL on exercise of thewarrants," it said in an exchange notice, adding NDTV's contention lacks "bona fides and has no merit or basis

either in law or in fact and is misconceived".

It also denied that prior nods were required from the I-T department as the order was issued against the Roys individually and do not relate to their ownership in RRPRH.

The Adani Group company has also

called upon RRPRH to withdraw the letter immediately and "cease and desist from repeating the misconceived and misleading statements".

Legal experts said NDTV's interpretations of the provisions were flawed. "(Section 281 of the I-TAct) is triggered in case when there is a transfer of an asset or when a charge is created on an asset whereas in the present case, new shares in RRPRH have been issued (resulting in passing on 99.5% shareholding in RRPRH to Adani) and hence no transfer has taken place by either Roys so as to trigger provisions of Section 281," Vishwas Panjiar, partner at Nangia Andersen said.

The media firm said the I-T dept had 'provisionally' attached shares held by RRPRH in 2017 and barred Radhika and Prannoy Roy from selling stake

'I-T department nod not needed for Adani to acquire NDTV shares'

VCPL, according to the statement, has called upon RRPR to 'cease and desist' from 'repeating the misconceived and misleading statements'

NEW DELHI: The Adami income-tax authorities NEW DELHI: The Adami group believes that there is no restriction by tax authorities on it acquiring a stake in NDTV, a statement that has also been backed by tax experts.

The Adami group has acquired a little-known firm. VCPL, that lent over Rs 403 crore to NDTV's founders more

than a decade ago in exchange for warrants that allowed the

income-tax authorities.

The Adani group in a state-ment said Vishvapradhan
Commercial Pvt Ltd (VCPL).
has informed that the income tax department orders only
apply to share of NDTV held apply to share of NDTV held by RRRP Holding Pvt Ltd (a promoter of NDTV) and in no manner restrict RRPR from completing the formalities in relation to allotment of equity allotment of equity

for warrants that allowed the company to acquire a stake of 29.18 per cent in the news group at any time.

Adani has since exercised those rights but NDTV says such conversion is barred by

IT orders have not been issued

IT orders have not been issued against Prannoy Roy and Radhika Roy individually and do not relate to their equity ownership in RRPR," it said, citing a reply received from VCPL. Against this background, "the suggestion that Prannoy Roy and Radhika Roy will need prior approval of the Assessing Officer under Section 281 of the Income Tax Act. 1961 is wholly

and hence, the question of any prior approval of the Assessing Officer does not arise, it said. VCPL, according to the statement, has called upon RRPR to "cease and desist" from "repeating the miscon-ceived and misleading state-ments," and take all necessary. ments" and take all necessary steps to convert the warrants

interpretation of provisions of Section 281 of the Income-tax Act, 1961. Section 281 is triggered in case when there is a transfer of an asset or when a charge is created on an asset whereas in the present case, new shares in the present case, new shares in RRPR have been issued (resulting in passing on 99.5 per cent shareholding in RRPR to Adani)

prior approval of the Assessing
Officer under Section 281 of the
Income Tax Act, 1961 is wholly
misconceived and has no basis."

"It is clear that RRPR as completed the
safe NDTV shares even
the said NDTV shares even
the safe NDTV shares even
the steps required under the Notice
steps required under the Notice
steps required under the Notice
steps to convert the warrants
tion equity.

Tax experts have also
backed the Adami group or
blacked the Adami

transfer does not seem to have

transfer does not seem to have been effectuated as yet)," Pan-jiar said.

Sharing similar views, Sudit K Parekh & Co LLP Partner Anita Basrur said Section 281 applies when there is a transfer of an asset or when a charge is created on a asset created on an asset.

created on an asset.

"In the present case, the warrants held by VCPL are being converted to equity shares of RRPRH. There is no transfer but new shares are being issued. This does not reaster being issued. This does not reaster being issued. This does not reaster being its provisions of Section 281," she said.

AMRG & Associates Senior

turing of RRPRH would not Partner Raiat Mohan said Partner Rajat Mohan said though RRPR Holdings Pri-vate Limited, holding 29.18 per cent of NDTV, was restricted by the income tax depart-ment from transferring said shares through a 2017 attach-ment order, that order never centricted BPRPH from curry.

turing of RRPRH would not need permission from the income tax department under section 281. "Also, there has been no jurisprudence available under section 281 that approves the lifting of the corporate veil to restrict the indirect transfer of assets," Mohan added. NA Shah Associates LLP Partner Ashok Shah said Secrestricted RRPRH from carry

NA Shah Associates LLP Partner Ashok Shah said Sec-tion 281 of the Income Tax Act is intended to protect interest of revenue on collection of income tax. However, this does not declare the share transfer void ab initio.

'Tax nod not required for Adani-NDTV deal'

Press Trust of India

feedback@livemint.com

NEW DELHI: The Adani group believes that there is no restriction by tax authorities on it acquiring a stake in NDTV, a statement that has also been backed by tax experts.

The Adani group has acquired a little-known firm, VCPL, that lent over ₹403 crore to NDTV's founders more than a decade ago in exchange for warrants that allowed the company

to acquire a stake of 29.18% in the news group at any time.

Adani has since exercised those rights but NDTV says such conversion is barred by income-tax authorities.

The Adani group in a statement said Vishvapradhan Commercial Pvt Ltd (VCPL) has informed that the income tax department orders only apply to share of NDTV held by RRRP Holding Pvt Ltd (a promoter of NDTV) and in no manner restrict RRPR from completing

the formalities in relation to allotment of equity shares to VCPL

"The IT orders have been issued against RRPR only and for the purpose of securing RRPR's continued ownership over the said NDTV shares. The IT orders have not been issued against Prannoy Roy and Radhika Roy individually and do not relate to their equity ownership in RRPR," it said, citing a reply received from VCPL.

Against this background, "the

suggestion that Prannoy Roy and Radhika Roy will need prior approval of the Assessing Officer under Section 281 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 is wholly misconceived and has no basis." It is clear that RRPR will remain the absolute owner of the said NDTV shares even after RRPR has completed the steps required under the Notice and hence, the question of any prior approval of the Assessing Officer does not arise," it said.

VCPL, according to the state-

ment, has called upon RRPR to "cease and desist" from "repeating the misconceived and misleading statements" and take all necessary steps to convert the warrants into equity.

Tax experts have also backed the Adani group on the issue.

Nangia Andersen LLP Partner Vishwas Panjiar said the position adopted by NDTV appears to be based on a flawed interpretation of provisions of Section 281 of the Income-tax Act, 1961.